UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,298	11/18/2005	Klaus Rutz	29805.132.2	4353
	7590 02/04/200 AL PROPERTY GRO	EXAMINER		
FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A. 200 SOUTH SIXTH STREET			STIMPERT, PHILIP EARL	
SUITE 4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension for time may be a validate under the provisions of 37CFt17-18(b). In no event, however, may a neigh be timely filled. If NO justed for regly is specified above, the maintain state the particular of success the application become ABAPROCEF (51 U.S. C, \$130). Any reply, received by the Office later than three months after the mality will by statute, cause the application become ABAPROCEF (51 U.S. C, \$131). Any reply, received by the Office later than three months after the mality date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any searned patient than adjustment. See SF CFR 1.74(D). **Responsive to communication(s) filled on 10 November 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4\(\text{Q Claim(s)} \) 1-4 and 6-12 (slare pending in the application. 4\(\text{A}\) Claim(s) \\ _1-4 and 6-12 (slare rejected). 5\(\text{Claim(s)} \) _isfare allowed. 6\(\text{Q}\) Claim(s) \\ _isfare allowed. 6\(\text{Q}\) Claim(s) \\ _isfare subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Upplication Papers** 9\(\text{D The specification is objected to by the Examiner.} \) 10\(\text{The activation of the application is objected to by the Examiner.} \) 11\(\text{D The drawing(s) filled on 25 October 2005 is/are: a)\(\text{Q}\) accepted or b\(\text{D}\) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.15(a). 11\(\text{D The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.} \) 12\(\text{A Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. \(\frac{S}{S}\) 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)\(\text{A}\) All b\		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address— **The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address— **The MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION** **A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **IND period for reply its packed above, the maxemum statutory parts via apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. **Propriet for reply its packed above, the maxemum statutory parts via application before additional parts of the communication, event if treby field, may reduce any statutory and statutory parts of the communication, event if treby field, may reduce any statutory and the part of this communication, event if treby field, may reduce any statutory and the parts of the communication, event if treby field, may reduce any statutory and the parts of the communication, event if treby field, may reduce any statutory and the parts of the communication, event if treby field, may reduce any statutory and the parts of the communication, event if treby field, may reduce any statutory and the parts of the communication and statutory and the parts of the communication. **Statutory** **A Responsive to communication(s) filled on **10 November 2008** **Listutory** **Listutory** **A Responsive to communication(s) filled on **10 November 2008** **Listutory** **Listutory** **Listutory** **A Responsive to communication(s) filled on **10 November 2008** **Listutory** **		10/554,298	RUTZ ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = retroid for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editations of thermap be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 113(s), in no overt. howover, may reply be brine from the contradition of the provisions of 37 CFR 113(s), the contradition of the provisions of 37 CFR 113(s), the contradition of the provisions of 37 CFR 113(s), the contradition of the communication of the provisions of the provision of the provisions of the provisions of the provision of the provision of the provisions of the provision of the provisions of the provision of the provision of the provisions of the provisions of the provision of the provision of the provisions of the p	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37-CF14-130(a). In so event, however, may a ropic be timely liked. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37-CF14-130(a). In so event, however, may a ropic be timely liked. - If ND period for reply is specified above, the maximum station period wall apply and will expire (K) (MONTH) from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply, which is used or extended period for roply, will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED (50-US-5, § 133). - Ary reply received by the Cfbc beaution than three morains after the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply which is used or extended period for roply, will, by statute, cause the application to become ARANDONED (50-US-5, § 133). - Failure to reply which is east or standard the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply which is east or standard period with the communication. - Failure to reply which is east or standard period with a standard period with the replication to become ARANDONED (50-US-5, § 133). - Responsive to communication(s) filled on 10 November 2008. - This action is FINAL. - 2b) This action is firmed period per		Philip Stimpert	3746					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1130b, in no event, however, may angly be timely field after SX (0) MONTHS from the realing date of this communication of 37 CFR 1130b, in no event, however, may angly be timely field after SX (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication will apply and will apple SX (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Provided the provided by	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notsynkial Date 5 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notsynkhale Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 No.	ovember 2008						
3								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	<i>;</i> —		secution as to the merits is					
Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 50								
4.) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5.) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6.) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12 is/are rejected. 7.) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8.) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 4. Application Papers 9. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10. The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 12. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column for priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	closed in accordance with the practice under L.	x parte Quayle, 1900 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. ***Application Papers** 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119** 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Litachment(s)** Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. ***Application Papers** 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. **Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119** 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Litachment(s)** Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-4 and 6-12</i> is/are pending in the application.							
Signature of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
6)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
To a claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9)								
Supplication Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 X The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2005 is/are: a) X accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 X Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) X All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. X Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail D	· ·	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	مال Glaim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Description of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Application Papers							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 October 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Description of Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached Detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See The Attached Detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See The Attached Detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See The Attached Detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Deaper No(s)/Mail Date. * Deaper No(s)								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **ttachment(s)* ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **See the attached Statement(s) PTO-892)								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	11) The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex-	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.					
a)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	a)☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. **Itachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive						
ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application		·						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)							
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	· =							

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

 As claim 1 includes the possibility of a connecting rod, and the applicant's specification (page 4, lines 26-30) indicates that use of a connecting rod would require a different governing relationship than that specified in claim 3, the claim is not fully enabled.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Regarding claim 3, the claim recites an equation without defining the variables therein. Applicant's discussion of these variables in the specification and the arguments filed 11/10/2008 is not sufficient to overcome this rejection, as the examiner cannot import limitations from the specification into the claims. For the purposes of

Art Unit: 3746

examination, the examiner is interpreting these variables as described by the applicant, but this should not be viewed as affecting the substance of this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-4 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haberlander et al. (US 6,457,944) in view of Llewellyn (GB 2,130,305).
- 8. Regarding claim 1, Haberlander et al. teach a method for controlling a diaphragm or piston pump (1, see col. 5, ln. 65-67) that is actuated by a rod (2, see col. 5, ln. 50-55) which is powered by an electric motor (3), comprising moving the pumping element by rotation of the cam. Haberlander et al. teach that the electric motor (3) is asynchronous, and that the operating speed thereof may be varied (such as during the suction cycle). Haberlander et al. do not teach varying the rotating speed of the cam during a compression stroke of the pump. Llewellyn teaches a cam-driven piston pump, and in particular teaches that the cam is driven to drive the pistons at constant speed (page 1, ln. 119) so as to produce a uniform flow rate (page 1, ln. 29-34). Llewellyn teaches accomplishing this by varying a profile of the cam while maintaining rotational speed thereof. However, those of ordinary skill in the art are aware of the mathematical disciplines of kinematics and calculus, and would thus be completely capable of deriving

formulae for producing constant piston linear velocities given any cam profile.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the pump control system of Haberlander et al. to vary the speed of rotation of the cam to produce constant velocity of the pumping element, as taught by Llewellyn.

- 9. Regarding claim 2, in performing a combination of Haberlander et al. with the teachings of LLewellyn, the process of producing constant linear velocity in the pumping element would involve compensating for the temporal cosinusoidal movement of the piston.
- 10. Regarding claim 3, in performing a combination of Haberlander et al. with the teachings of LLewellyn, one of ordinary skill in the art would necessarily arrive at a shape equation equivalent to that expressed in claim 3.
- 11. Regarding claim 4, in view of the teachings of Haberlander et al., which teaches varying the rotating speed of the cam during an aspiration stroke, and those of Llewellyn of maintaining a constant rotating speed, one of ordinary skill would recognize that the rotation speed of the cam during aspiration is unimportant to the provision of a uniform flow rate. It would therefore be obvious to maintain a constant rotating speed of the cam during aspiration.
- 12. Regarding claim 7, Haberlander et al. teach the use of sensors (11) to control the cam rotation speed. In the present combination, it would be obvious to use the information provided by these sensors to better control the speed variation engendered by application of the teachings of Llewellyn.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,298

Art Unit: 3746

13. Regarding claim 8, in performing a combination of Haberlander et al. with the teachings of LLewellyn, by simple geometry, it would be obvious that the rotating speed of the cam would decrease to a minimum midway through the compression stroke.

Page 5

- 14. Regarding claim 9, Haberlander et al. teach increasing the speed of rotation of the cam (see Fig. 2B) during the aspiration stroke to the maximum.
- 15. Regarding claim 10, in performing a combination of Haberlander et al. with the teachings of LLewellyn, it would be obvious, again by simple geometry, that the rotation speed would necessarily increase near the end of the compression stroke, in order to maintain the constant linear velocity of the pumping element.
- 16. Claims 6, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haberlander et al. in view of Llewellyn as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Weigold (WO02/087057, as translated in US 2004/0027014).
- 17. Regarding claim 6, Haberlander et al. do not teach that the motor is an EC motor. Weigold et al. teach an EC motor (see Fig. 1) that is used to drive a coolant pump. As Haberlander et al. do not disclose the details of their pump, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use an EC motor such as that taught by Weigold in order to provide the necessary motor for implementing the system of Haberlander et al.
- 18. Regarding claim 11, Haberlander et al. teach the provision of rotor position sensors (11), which would be obvious to make integral with the motor.
- 19. Regarding claim 12, in performing a combination of Haberlander et al. with the teachings of LLewellyn, it would be obvious to use the information from the sensors (11) to provide improved control of the cam rotation.

Art Unit: 3746

Response to Arguments

20. Applicant's arguments, see page 4, filed 10 November 2008, with respect to the drawings have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection to the drawings has been withdrawn.

- 21. Applicant's remaining arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 22. With respect to indefiniteness and enablement, the examiner reminds the applicant that an examiner cannot import limitations from arguments or the specification into the claims. It will therefore be necessary to present the variable definitions pertinent to claim 3 either in the claim itself, or in the specification in a form such that they directly impact the claims.
- 23. Applicant's arguments with respect to anticipation and obviousness of claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

24. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3746

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Stimpert whose telephone number is (571)270-1890. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30AM-4:00PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Devon Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-7118. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746

Art Unit: 3746

Examiner, Art Unit 3746 30 January 2009